

EC-07564

SCHNEPP

William

07/06/2006

Note de délibération : 17.41 / 20

Numéro d'inscription

07564



Né(e) le

07 / 06 / 2006

Signature

Nom

SCHNEPP

Prénom (s)

WILLIAM

Épreuve :

Anglais LVA

Sujet

☐ 1

ou

☐ 2

(Veuillez cocher le N° de sujet choisi)

Les feuilles dont l'entête d'identification n'est pas entièrement renseigné ne seront pas prises en compte pour la correction.

Feuille

01

/ 02

Numéro de table

110

Version

L' intelligence artificielle nourrit l'émergence de
"solo-entrepreneurs"

Sarah Gwilliam n'est pas plus une ingénieure en informatique qu'elle ne "parle l'IA", confesse-t-elle. Elle a pourtant l'étincellante idée de créer une startup dans l'intelligence-artificielle générative qui aiderait les gens comme elle à vivre leur deuil et à s'occuper des dernières affaires de leurs défunts bien aimés. Elle a rejoint un incubateur spécialisé en IA, Andas, qui a trouvé son idée prometteuse. Ses agents IA l'ont aidé à

NE RIEN ÉCRIRE

DANS CE CADRE

la mettre en ligne et sur Instagram.

Si son idée fonctionne, l'incubateur n'apportera pas seulement du capital, ses agents IA aideront Mme Gwilliam pour le développement de son produit, ses ventes, son marketing et le travail de l'ombre en échange d'un pourcentage sur ses ventes. Elle n'a pas besoin d'employé. En effet, l'IA l'a aidée à co-fonder l'entreprise. "Je ne saurais vous dire à quel point c'était émancipateur" dit-elle. Comme à son habitude, la Silicon Valley a déjà adopté un néologisme décrivant les fondateurs individuels comme Ms Gwilliam : ce sont les "solo-entrepreneurs".

Certains espèrent que l'IA générative rendra le lancement d'une

si abordable et dénué de souci que n'importe qui pourra
affaire

devenir un entrepreneur tout comme n'importe qui peut devenir un YouTubeur, un vent d'air frais dans le paysage ~~entrepreneurial~~ entrepreneurial concurrencé en Amérique. Quant à savoir si les personnes comme Nike Williams pourraient échapper à la poigne étouffante des géants des nouvelles technologies, c'est un autre sujet.

Thème

Despite Sylhet's charm, Cox's Bazar was not slow to become our family's favorite destination. It was the only seaside resort of Bangladesh. Thinking of it, it was already extraordinary that the world's first poorest country had one. But this would mean forgetting that Bengal had been a very rich kingdom before the British colonization

which took care of dismantling all of this wealth.

Among these Englishmen, a certain Cox built - or renamed, who knows - a resort on the seaside of Bengal's gulf. In 1978, to put it simply, there was nothing left of the colonizer's excesses, except hotels in rubble, most of which would serve as pensionaries. The least damaged of these hotels would still host the sea baths' amateurs we were. Apart from the Nothombs, we had only see one family. It was an English tribe who would never leave the hotel and would always eat their meal on the table near ours in what served as the restaurant.

Numéro d'inscription

07564



Né(e) le

07 / 06 / 2006

Signature

Nom

SCHNEPP

Prénom (s)

WILLIAM

Épreuve :

Anglais LVA

Sujet

☐

1

ou

☐

2

(Veuillez cocher le N° de sujet choisi)

Les feuilles dont l'entête d'identification n'est pas entièrement
renseigné ne seront pas prises en compte pour la correction.

Feuille

☐

02

/

☐

02

Numéro de table

☐

110

☐☐☐

Essai

- Are we living in a post-truth era?

Donald Trump's election in 2024 for a
as P.O.T.U.S
second term^v has been a watershed in the United States.
Ever since his administration repeatedly and openly
lied to the American population, to journalists and to other
countries. Under Trump's second term, it seems to me that
truth has become a political tool / to serve the power and to
undermine criticism. That may leave one to wonder

NE RIEN ÉCRIRE

DANS CE CADRE

whether we are living in an era of post-truth.

For a start, I would define post-truth as a political science's concept which reflects the way governments and decision makers are able to massage facts in order to have their way while disregarding mainstream media - often accused of serving a putative "wokeist" ideology. Thus, I firmly believe that Trump's second election, and generally speaking the rise of the far right ushered in a post-truth era, insofar as this political sphere resorts to such means.

In the United States, a case in point is the killing of Rénée Good - a 37-year-old mother of two - on the 7th of

January in Minneapolis. Renée Good was shot in the face by an ICE agent during an immigration enforcement operation. According to the federal account of the events, the ICE acted in legitimate defense and was threatened by Renée Good's vehicle. However, videos and testimonies run counter to the federal account, proving the real cause of the events where Renée Good was not a threat to the ICE agent at all. Yet, Kristi Noem, former U.S. Secretary for Homeland Security, backed the federal account, calling Renée Good's so-called threat "an act of domestic terrorism" while JD Vance, Vice President of the U.S., also echoed the federal claim, framing Renée Good's death as "a tragedy of her own making". This is downright post-truth: Trump's administration massages facts and shapes the narrative to serve their political stance.

For me, this echoes George Orwell's 1984, in which

Orwell wrote: "the party told you to reject the evidence of your eyes and ears. It was their final, most essential command".

Therefore, ~~I would contend that~~ And while Trumpism manipulates facts in the U.S, this strategy gains momentum in Europe as well. In the United Kingdom, Southport's 2024 summer unrests were triggered by fake news and/post-truth allegations.

All things considered, I, for one, would contend that such a post-truth era bodes ill for the future of democracy.